

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/734,650	12/13/2000	Hanine Abdelkrim	Q61910	4807
23373	7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			NALVEN, ANDREW L	
SUITE 800	SILVANIA AVENUE, I	N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		2134	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· b~						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/734,650	ABDELKRIM, HANINE				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Andrew L. Nalven	2134				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day- ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma	arch 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2000 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prioring application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 16 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The cited claims fail to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. Further, the provided claims are directed towards a programming interface that is not embodied on a computer readable medium. Data structures or computer programs that are not embodied on a computer readable medium are nonstatutory under 35 USC 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/734,650

Art Unit: 2134

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parodi et al "Integrating ObjectBroker and DCE Security" in view of Flanagan <u>Java in a Nutshell</u>.
- 6. With regards to claims 1 and 3, Parodi teaches a first class including methods of initiating a secured call (Parodi, Page 46, Items 1,2,3 and Page 42 Paragraph 1), a second class including methods of accepting a secure call (Parodi, Page 46, Items 9-10 and Page 42 Paragraph 1), and a third class including methods of bidirectional exchange of messages via a secured call or the secured closure of the call (Parodi, Page 46, column 1 Paragraph 2). Parodi fails to teach the three classes structured in a hierarchy. Flanagan teaches a class with methods for initiating a call (Flanagan, page 147, socket class), a class with methods for accepting a call (Flanagan, page 145, ServerSocket), and a third class with methods of bidirectional exchange where the first and second classes inherit from the third class ((Flanagan, page 334, Java.net.SocketImpl)). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Flanagan's method of class hierarchy's because it offers the advantage of allowing generic code to be used by child classes thus removing the need to recode common functionality.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,650 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

7. With regards to claims 2 and 4, Parodi as modified teaches the methods conforming to GSS-API specifications (Parodi, Page 42, Column 2, Paragraph 3).

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew L. Nalven whose telephone number is 571 272 3839. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8-6, Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571 272 3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Nalven

David Y. Jung Primary Examiner